P.01/04

MAY 0 1 2006

PTC/SB/91 (10-05)
PTC/SB/91 (10-05)
PTC/SB/91 (10-05)
U.S. Patent and Transmerk Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Ond to a collection of information of the part of the part

PE	TITION FOR	REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION I D UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CF	FOR PATENT	Docket Number (Optional) 42390.P12401
First Na	med Inventor:	Hayduk, Mathew A.	Art Un	lt: 2127
Applicati	on Number:	10/017,047	Exami	^{ner:} Ali, Syed J.
Filed: E	December 31	, 2001		
Titte: C	Computing Sy	stern Capable of Reducing Power	Consumption by D	istributing Execution
	: Office of Pet	itions		
Commiss	sioner for Pate	ents .		
P.O. Box Alexandr	: 1450 ia, VA 22313-	1450		
	NOTE	: If information or assistance is needed Petitions information at (571) 272-328	in completing this fo	rm, please contact
the Unite	d Sates Pate	pplication became abandoned for failu nt and Trademark Office. The date of ne Office notice or action plus any exte	abandonment is the	day after the expiration date of the
	NOTE: / (1) Per (2) Re (3) Ter	ANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVINA grantable petition requires the following following the cause of unavoking the cause of unavoking the cause of unavoking the following f	ng items: quired for all utility an applications; and	
1. Petition	1 fee			
	Small enth See 37	ty – fee \$ (37 CFR 1.17 CFR 1.27.	'(I)). Applicant claims	small entity status.
2	Other than	small entity - fee \$ 500 (37	CFR 1.17(I)).	
2. Reply a	ind/or fee			
A TI	ne reply and/o lotice of App	r fee to the above-noted Office action in eal	n the form of (identify the	type of reply):
	has been	filed previously on	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>
Z	le enclose	d herewith.		
8 11	e issue fee of	8		
	has been 1	filed previously on		•
	is enclosed	d herewith.		

This collection of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.137(a). The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 8 hours comprise, including gathering, propering, and automating the completed explication form to the USPTO. Three will very depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form end/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademant Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1430, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, BIND TO: Mail stops Petition, Commissioner for Patenting, P.O. Box 1430, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, cell 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

05/02/2006 JBALINAN 00000046 500221

10017047

01 FC:1452

500.00 DA

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 0 1 2006

PTO/88/81 (10-05)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCES

	PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION OF A APPLICATION OF AN APPLICATIO	GFR 1.137(a)
. Terminal disc	claimer with disclaimer fee	
	Since this utility/plant application was filed on or af	ter June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer la required
	A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR for other than a small entity) of herewith (see PTO/SB/63).	1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity of disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed
An adequate for the reply t	showing of the cause of the delay, and that the entinuith the filling of a grantable polition under 37 CFR 1.	e delay in filing the required reply from the due date 137(a) was unavoidable, is enclosed.
that may con numbers, or o payment purp information is auch personal advised that a non-publical Furthermore, referenced in authorization	WARNING: bilicant is cautioned to evoid submitting personal information au credit card numbers (other than a check or credit c credit card numbers (other than a check or credit c credit card numbers (other than a check or credit c credit card numbers (other than a check or credit c credit card numbers (other than a check or credit c credit card numbers (other than a check or credit c submitted to the USPTO, at information from the documents before submitted the record of a patent application is available to the p tion request in compilance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is in the record from an abandoned application may also a published application or an issued patent (a forms PTO-2038 aubmitted for payment purpose not publicly available.	ch as acctal security numbers, bank account and authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for patition or an application. If this type of personal petitionera/applicants should consider redacting in them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is public after publication of the application (unless made in the application) or issuance of a patent, to be available to the public if the application is as 37 CFR 114). Charks and oradit card
Philast A 1	Signature Whittington	
Stuart A.	Typed or printed name	45,215 Registration Number, if applicable
OC2-157	. 4500 S. Dobson Road	480-715-3895
	Address r, AZ 85248	Telephone Number
	Address	
nclosure [Z]	Fee Payment	
Z	Reply	
	Terminal Discisimer Form	
	Additional sheets containing statements establishing	g unavoidable delay
.		
deposiciones de la companie de la co	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSM that this correspondence is being: ited with the United States Postal Service on the date mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Petition ndria, VA 22313-1460. Initiad by facalmile on the date shown below to the United by facalmile on the date shown below to the United States.	a shown below with sufficient postage as first , Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,
	////	
5/1/	Z006 Date Structure has	Signature VTTIC10V

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 0 1 2006

PTO/SB/61 (10-05)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0661-0031
U.S. Patent and Tradomark Officia; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information united & displays a valid OMB control number. PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(8)				
NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be eigr party who is presenting statements/concerning the cause of delay. Signature Stuart A. Whittington Typed or printed name	S///2006 Sate 45,215 Registration Number, if applicable			

(in the space provided below, please explain in datall the reasons for the delay in filing a proper reply.)

A Final Office Action on this application was mailed Aug.1, 2005. Applicant timely responded to the Final Action on Nov.1, 2005. After no indication of the status of the Application, Applicant's representative contacted Examiner Ali on Jan.24, 2006 to determine the status of the application and identify what action to pursue in advance of the 6 month deadline expiring on Feb. 1, 2006. Examiner Ali indicated there had been a delay by the USPTO processing Applicant's response and he had not received it at the time of the call and due to this fact, his next communication would actually be mailed after after the 6 month date (February 1, 2006). Examiner Ali further indicated to Applicant's representative that because the delay was due to the USPTO, he would reset and/or extend the period for responding so that Applicant would have an opportunity to reply to the next official communication in an appropriate manner. Examiner Ali Issued an Advisory Action on Feb.14, 2006 without resetting or extending the period for which Applicant could respond.

On Mar.1, 2006, Applicant again contacted Examiner Ali to inquire why period for reply was not reset or extended in the Advisory Action as indicated in the previous telephone call. Examiner Ali indicated that it was merely an oversight and that a new communication would be mailed giving Applicant appropriate time to respond.

The new communication was never mailed and on Mar. 22, 2006, Applicant called Supervisory Examiner Ming-Ai to inform the examiner of the situation. Examiner Ming-Ai indicated that Examiner All inadvertently mislead Applicant's representative and that Examiner All had no authority or manner in which to reset or extend the period for reply, that the application was in fact abandoned because no proper response was filed by February 1, 2006, and that there was nothing Applicant could do but to petition to revive the application.

Applicant respectfully submits that the present application was unavoidably abandoned because (i) the USPTO incurred a 3 month delay in forwarding Applicant's after-final communication to Examiner All; (ii) Applicant was never made aware of the status of the Application after submission of its response filed Nov. 1, 2005 and prior to the 6 month deadline of Feb. 1, 2006 (even though good faith inquiry was made on Jan. 24, 2006); and (iii) Applicant's representative was improperly mislead by the Examiner that it should refrain from filing anything before receiving the next USPTO communication and that the application would not go abandoned because the period for response would be reset. But for Applicant's good faith reliance on the Examiner's recommendations and verbal commitment to reset the period for reply, Applicant would have filed some type of response by Feb. 1, 2006 and this application would not be abandoned.

(Please attach additional sheets if additional space is needed.)